

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,126	12/15/2003	Ken A. Nishimura	10030571-1	5946
7590 01/04/2007 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			EXAMINER	
Legal Departme	ent, DL 429	•	THOMAS, BRANDI N	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599			ART UNIT .	. PAPER NUMBER
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			2873	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/737,126	NISHIMURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brandi N. Thomas	2873			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 C	ectober 2006.				
<i>i</i> — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
,—	,—				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-26 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10,12-18,20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		•			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: <u>Detailed Act</u>	ate Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-10, 12-16, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bhuva et al. (5612713).

Regarding claim 1, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, comprising: memory elements (10a) configured to store data therein and shift data therebetween (col. 4, lines 35-40); and light modulation elements (10) respectively in communication with the memory elements (10a) (col. 2, lines 65-67 and col. 3, lines 1 and 2), wherein each of the light modulation elements (10) is alterable in response to the data stored in the respective corresponding memory elements (10a) (col. 3, lines 23-30).

Regarding claim 2, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein said memory elements (10a) are arranged in an array having rows and columns (col. 4, lines 35-37).

Application/Control Number: 10/737,126

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 3, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein said memory elements (10a) are configured to shift the data bi-directionally between rows (col. 4, lines 41-51).

Regarding claim 4, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein said memory elements (10a) are configured to shift the data bi-directionally between columns (col. 4, lines 41-51).

Regarding claim 5, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein said memory elements (10a) are configured to shift the data bi-directionally between at least one of non-adjacent rows and non-adjacent columns (col. 4, lines 41-51).

Regarding claim 6, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein said memory elements (10a) are arranged in a nonorthogonal pattern (col. 4, lines 41-51).

Regarding claim 7, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein said memory elements (10a) are static memory elements (col. 2, lines 53-55).

Regarding claim 8, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein each of the memory elements (10a) includes a feedback element (col. 4, lines 65-67 and col. 5, lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 9, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein the feedback element is a weak feedback element (col. 5, lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 10, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, further comprising access control elements (33) connected to said respective memory elements (10a) (col.4, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 12, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein each of said memory elements (10a) further includes an output electrically coupled to an electrode (14) of said respective light modulation element (10) and to an input node of an additional one of said memory elements (10a) (col. 5, lines 6-16).

Regarding claim 13, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein said memory elements (10a) are interconnected in a sift register (35) (col. 4, lines 35-40).

Regarding claim 14, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein said memory elements (10a) each include a master-slave flip flop (col. 5, lines 8-10).

Regarding claim 15, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, further comprising: a timing circuit (clock) in communication with each of said memory element s (10a) to shift data between said memory elements (10a) (col. 4, lines 41-46).

Regarding claim 16, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein said timing circuit comprises a ripple clock (col. 4, lines 41-46).

Regarding claim 20, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein said light modulation elements (10) comprise micromirrors (21) (col. 3, line 66).

Regarding claim 21, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein said memory elements (10a) are arranged in blocks (31) (col. 4, lines 53-55), a first one of said blocks (31) configured to receive data from an external input and the others of said blocks configured to receive data from other ones of said memory elements (10a) (figure 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/737,126

Art Unit: 2873

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 5

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhuva et al. (5612713).

Regarding claim 17, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator but does not specifically disclose the light modulation elements comprising liquid crystal material. It would have been obvious to modify the invention to include liquid crystal material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use (In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention to include wherein the light modulation elements comprising liquid crystal material for the purpose of using a lithography system.

Regarding claim 18, Bhuva et al. discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a spatial light modulator, wherein said light modulation elements (10) further comprise: a common electrode (15) configured to receive a common electrode signal for said light modulation element s (10) (col. 3, lines 28-30); and a respective pixel electrode (14) configured to receive the data stored in said respective memory elements (10) (col. 3, lines 31-34).

Application/Control Number: 10/737,126 Page 6

Art Unit: 2873

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 11 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claim(s), in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim(s) 11, wherein the claimed invention comprises, in claim 11, access control elements including a forward access control element and a reverse access control element; in claim 19, a timing circuit that shifts inverted data from a first to a second memory element and switches the common electrode signal, as claimed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandi N. Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-2341. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6-4:30.

Art Unit: 2873

2873

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brandi N Thomas Examiner Art Unit 2873

RNT

ALICIA M. HAPPINGTØN
PRIMARY LAMMER